

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this

1 2 Court should not enter Final Judgment as to Defendants Soshin Electric Co., Ltd., and Soshin 3 Electronics of America, Inc. (together, "Soshin"). The Court, having reviewed the settlement 4 agreement between Plaintiffs Michael Brooks, CAE Sound, Steve Wong, Toy-Knowlogy Inc., 5 AGS Devices, Ltd., J&O Electronics, Nebraska Dynamics, Inc., Angstrom, Inc., MakersLED, In 6 Home Tech Solutions, Inc., individually and on behalf of the Indirect Purchaser Class they seek to 7 represent, on the one hand, and Soshin, on the other, dated June 30, 2017 (the "Settlement 8 Agreement"); Indirect Purchasers' Motion for Final Approval of Settlements with Defendants 9 Hitachi Chemical and Soshin; the pleadings and other papers on file in this action; and the 10 statements of counsel and the parties, including at the October 18, 2018 Fairness Hearing, hereby finds no just reason to delay the entry of Final Judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Agreement.

Good cause appearing therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, AJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, the Actions within this litigation, and the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the Settlement Class.

54(b). Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment, which shall constitute a final

adjudication of the case on the merits as to Soshin in accordance with the terms of the Settlement

2. For purposes of this Judgment, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreement [ECF No. 1844-4] as though they were fully set forth in this Final Judgment. Specifically, "Class," as defined in the Settlement Agreement, means:

> All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants; their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates; any co-conspirators; Defendants' attorneys

27 28

in this case; federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions; all judges assigned to this case; all jurors in this case; and all Persons who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

- 3. Those persons and entities identified in the list attached hereto as **Exhibit A** are validly excluded from the Class. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound by this Judgment. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained in connection with the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice IPPs' claims against Soshin, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. All persons and entities who are Releasors under the terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, any claim against the Releasees, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, in this or any other jurisdiction arising out of, or related to, any of the Released Claims.
- 6. The Releasees are hereby and forever released from all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over
 - a. implementation of these settlements and any distribution to members of the
 Settlement Class pursuant to further orders of this Court;
 - b. disposition of the Settlement Fund;
 - determining attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, interest and Class Representative incentive awards;
 - d. the Action until Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective;
 - e. hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and

Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2192 Filed 09/12/18 Page 4 of 6

all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Agreement. 8. This document constitutes a final judgment and separate documents for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a). 9. The Court finds that, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 54(a) and (b), Final Judgment should be entered, and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Settlement Agreements. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Final Judgment forthwith. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October ___, 2018 Hon. James Donato United States District Court Judge

Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Approval of Settlement with Soshin Defendants; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

EXHIBIT A

REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION FROM SOSHIN SETTLEMENT

3	<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Requested Timely
4	Gayle L. Roberts	Yes
	Peter Zdinak	Yes
5	LingoTeach Inc	Yes
6	Microsoft Mobile, Inc. and Microsoft Mobile Oy	Yes
	Microsoft Corporation	Yes
7	Microsoft Corporation's Subsidiaries	Yes
8	Nokia Corporation (Finland)	Yes
	Nokia Sales International Oy (Finland)	Yes
9	Nokia India Pvt. Ltd. (India)	Yes
10	OOO Nokia (Russia)	Yes
11	Nokia (China) Investment Co., Ltd. (China)	Yes
	Nokia Telecommunications Ltd. (China)	Yes
12	Nokia Inc. (United States)	Yes
13	Nokia UK Limited (United Kingdom)	Yes
	Nokia do Brasil Technologia Ltda (Brazil)	Yes
14	Nokia TMC Limited (South Korea)	Yes
15	Nokia (Thailand) Ltd. (Thailand)	Yes
	Nokia Solutions and Networks B.V. (The Netherlands)	Yes
16	Nokia Solutions and Networks Oy (Finland)	Yes
17	Nokia Solutions and Networks US LLC (United States)	Yes
18	Nokia Solutions and Networks Japan Corp (Japan)	Yes
19	Nokia Solutions and Networks India Private Limited (India)	Yes
20	Nokia Solutions and Networks System Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd (China)	Yes
21	Nokia Solutions and Networks Branch Operations Oy (Finland)	Yes
22	Nokia Solutions and Networks Korea Ltd (South Korea)	Yes
23	Nokia Solutions and Networks do Brasil Telecomunicações Ltda (Brazil)	Yes
25	Nokia Solutions and Networks Technology Service Co., Ltd (China)	Yes
26	HERE Holding Corporation (United States)	Yes
27	HERE Global B.V. (The Netherlands)	Yes
- '	HERE Europe B.V. (The Netherlands)	Yes

Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Approval of Settlement with Soshin Defendants; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2192 Filed 09/12/18 Page 6 of 6

1	<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Requested Timely
$_{2} \parallel$	HERE North America LLC (United States)	Yes
3	HERE Deutschland GmbH (Germany)	Yes
	Nokia Finance International B.V. (The Netherlands)	Yes
4	Nokia GmbH (Germany)	Yes
5	Nokia Capitel Telecommunications Ltd. (China)	Yes
6	Dongguan Nokia Mobile Phones Company Ltd. (China)	Yes
7	Nokia Komarom Kft (Hungary)	Yes
8	Nokia Romania SRL (Romania)	Yes
9	Nokia Communications Equipment (Shanghai) Ltd (China)	Yes
	Nokia (HK) Ltd (Hong Kong)	Yes
10	Nokia Mobile Phone Manufacturing (HK) Ltd (Hong Kong)	Yes
11 12	Nokia Mobile Communications KK (formerly Nokia Mobile Phone Japan)	Yes
13	- ·· <u>r</u> ··/	
$\begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$		
15		
16		
- 11		

Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Approval of Settlement with Soshin Defendants; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD